RISK FACTORS FOR ROTATOR CUFF TENDINOPATHY: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

Hio Teng LEONG, PT, PhD¹, Sai Chuen FU, PhD¹, Xin HE, MSc¹, Joo Han OH, MD, PhD², Nobuyuki YAMAMOTO, MD, PhD³ and Shu Hang Patrick YUNG, MD¹

From the ¹Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Prince of Wales Hospital, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, ²Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, South Korea and ³Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Tohoku University School of Medicine, Japan

Objectives: To conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis to identify risk and associated factors for symptomatic rotator cuff tendinopathy.

Data sources: PubMed, CINAHL and Scopus were searched from inception to June 2017.

Study selection: Participants presented with signs and symptoms suggestive of rotator cuff tendinopathy/tendinosis/tendinitis, shoulder impingement syndrome, or subacromial bursitis diagnosed by clinical tests and/or conventional imaging.

Data extraction: Screening, quality assessment and data extraction were carried out by 2 reviewers.

Data synthesis: Sixteen studies were included in this review. Overall, 22 factors were identified and 5 factors were explored using meta-analysis. Pooled analyses provided strong evidence that age above 50 years (odds ratio (OR) = 3.31, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) = 2.30–4.76, $I^2 = 0\%$, p < 0.001) and diabetes (OR = 2.24, 95% CI = 1.37–3.65, $I^2 = 0\%$, p = 0.001) were associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy. In addition, moderate evidence showed that work with the shoulder above 90° was associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy (OR = 2.41, 95% CI = 1.31–4.45, $I^2 = 83\%$, p = 0.005).

Conclusion: Age above 50 years, diabetes and overhead activities were associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy.

Key words: rotator cuff tendinopathy; shoulder; overuse; risks; odd ratios; systematic review; meta-analysis.

Accepted Aug 21, 2019; Epub ahead of print Sep 5, 2019

J Rehabil Med 2019; 51: 627-637

Correspondence address: Hio Teng Leong, Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Prince of Wales Hospital, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong. E-mail: annieleonght@cuhk.edu.hk

S houlder disorders are common musculoskeletal problems in Western society, with a 1-year prevalence of 47% and lifetime prevalence of up to 70% being reported (1). One of the common causes of shoulder pain is rotator cuff tendinopathy, which is characterized by pain and weakness during external rotation and elevation (2). Rotator cuff tendinopathy is an umbrella term that includes different shoulder conditions affecting subacromial structures, such as rotator cuff tendinitis/ tendinosis, subacromial bursitis and shoulder impinge-

LAY ABSTRACT

Rotator cuff tendinopathy is one of the common causes of shoulder pain. Successful treatment of rotator cuff tendinopathy remains challenging; thus, it is essential to identify risk and associated factors of rotator cuff tendinopathy in order to develop prevention interventions. The current review included 16 studies, and overall 22 factors were identified. Pooled analyses showed age above 50 years, diabetes and performing overhead activities were associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy.

ment syndrome (2–4). Rotator cuff tendinopathy is refractory to treatments (5), results in impaired activities of daily living and has a significant socio-economic burden due to loss of work and treatment costs (6).

The management of rotator cuff tendinopathy is mainly conservative. Recent systematic reviews and meta-analysis showed low or limited evidence that passive therapies, such as therapeutic ultrasound (7), extracorporeal shockwave therapy (8), low-level laser therapy, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, pulsed electromagnetic field therapy (9), and other injections, such as platelet-rich plasma (10) and corticosteroid injections (11), are effective for rotator cuff tendinopathy. These passive therapies work chiefly on symptom relief and may not alleviate the mechanical stress of tendon pathologies (12, 13). Exercise therapy has been shown to be effective in reducing pain and improving function in rotator cuff tendinopathy (3). However, approximately 40% of patients with rotator cuff tendinopathy fail to respond to conservative treatment (14–16), and more than half of patients report recurrent and persistent pain over the long term (17). Successful treatment of rotator cuff tendinopathy remains challenging; thus, it is essential to identify risk and associated factors of rotator cuff tendinopathy for the development of prevention interventions.

The cause of rotator cuff tendinopathy has been reported to be multifactorial. A combination of extrinsic mechanical compression (i.e. narrowing of the subacromial space) and tendon overuse/overload (i.e. repetitive overhead activities) have been shown to be the major mechanism of rotator cuff tendinopathy (18). Thus, the diagnosis of rotator cuff tendinopathy including rotator cuff tendinitis/tendinosis, subacromial bursitis

628 H. T. Leong et al.

and shoulder impingement syndrome, requires a battery of clinical tests and/or a combination of conventional imaging (3, 19, 20). A recent systematic review of risk factors related to rotator cuff tears has been reported by Sayampanathan & Andrew (21); however, their study included individuals with both symptomatic and asymptomatic rotator cuff tears diagnosed by conventional imaging or arthroscopic evaluation. Previous studies have reported poor correlation between symptoms related to rotator cuff pathologies and structural failure observed in imaging (22-25). Miniaci et al. (23) reported that 86% of asymptomatic professional baseball pitchers have rotator cuff pathology, identified by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). In addition, Girish et al., (26) reported that 96% of men without shoulder symptoms have structural abnormalities identified by ultrasound, including subacromial bursal thickening, supraspinatus tendinosis, and supraspinatus tears. Thus, the presence of structural tissue failure in large numbers of people without symptoms challenges the validity of imaging to identify the source of symptoms (2). Noting that the hallmark characteristics of rotator cuff tendinopathy are pain and weakness during arm elevation (2), the potential risk factors of symptomatic rotator cuff tendinopathy may not be identified in the previous systematic review (21). The aim of this study was to conduct a systematic review to identify risk and associated factors under the umbrella term of symptomatic rotator cuff tendinopathy, including rotator cuff tendinosis/tendinitis, shoulder impingement syndrome, and subacromial bursitis.

METHODS

Protocol

A systematic review was performed using a predetermined protocol in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (27). Our review has been registered with the PROSPERO database (registration number CRD42017069708) (28).

Search strategy and criteria

An electronic database search of PubMed, CINAHL, and Scopus was undertaken from inception to June 2017. The keywords used are shown in Table I. Supplementary searches were carried out by hand searching the reference lists of the included studies. The search results were imported into reference-manager software (Endnote X5; Thomson, New York, USA) to avoid duplication of records.

A study was included if: (*i*) participants presented with signs and symptoms suggestive of rotator cuff tendinopathy/ tendinosis/tendinitis, shoulder impingement syndrome, or subacromial bursitis diagnosed by clinical tests and/or conventional imaging (3, 19, 20); (*ii*) the study design was cross-sectional, case-control, prospective, and retrospective analyses; and (*iii*) the study report was published in English and full-text articles prior to June 2017. A study was excluded if: (*i*) participants were diagnosed with a rotator cuff full thickness tear or calcified ten-

Table I. Keywords used in the PubMed database

	Search terms
1	Rotator cuff [MeSH Terms]
2	Rotator cuff tendon* [All Fields]
3	Subscapularis [MeSH Terms]
4	Supraspinatus [MeSH Terms]
5	Infraspinatus [MeSH Terms]
6	Teres minor [MeSH Terms]
7	Subacromial bursa [MeSH Terms]
8	1 OR 2 OR 3 OR 4 OR 5 OR 6 OR 7
9	Tendinopath* [All Fields]
10	Tendinitis [All Fields]
11	Tendinosis [All Fields]
12	Tendin* [All Fields]
13	shoulder imping* [All Fields]
14	subacromial imping* [All Fields]
15	subacromial bursitis* [All Fields]
16	9 OR 10 OR 11 OR 12 OR 13 OR 14 OR 15
17	Risk [All Fields]
18	Associat* [All Fields]
19	Predict* [All Fields]
20	Odds [All Fields]
21	Odds ratio [All Fields]
22	Probabilit* [All Fields]
23	17 OR 18 OR 19 OR 20 OR 21 OR 22
24	8 AND 16 and 23 limited to English language, full text, human studies

dinopathy, and other postsurgical conditions (3, 19, 20); (*ii*) the study population involved animal models or cadavers; and (*iii*) the study report was published as case studies, reviews (systematic and narrative), editorials, commentaries and opinion-based papers. Relevant studies were accessed for full-text review prior to inclusion in the systematic review.

Two reviewers (LHT, HX) independently screened all titles and/or abstracts and reviewed the studies identified for inclusion. Disagreements were resolved by consensus between the 2 reviewers or by a third reviewer (FSC) when required.

Assessment of methodological quality and risk of bias

Two reviewers (LHT and HX) independently assessed the methodological quality and risk of bias using a modified version of the Downs and Black (DB) checklist (29). We adapted the 15item version of the original DB Checklist, which was previously used in a review of intrinsic and extrinsic risk factors associated with heel pain (30) and kinematic variables associated with anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction (31). The adapted version was deemed appropriate for this review as it does not apply questions relating to methodological design validity associated with an intervention. A total score ≥ 12 indicates high methodological quality, a score of 10 or 11 indicates moderate quality, and a score ≤ 9 indicates low quality (31). Disagreements in scores were resolved by consensus between the 2 reviewers or by a third reviewer (FSC) when required. Intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) 2-way mixed-effects analysis was calculated using SPSS Version 24 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) to measure the interrater agreement between the 2 reviewers for quality assessment.

Data extraction and data synthesis

All data were extracted independently by 2 reviewers (LHT and HX). Disagreements were resolved by consensus between the 2 reviewers or a third reviewer (FSC) when required. Data extraction was based on a standardized form that includes: (*i*) the characteristics of the study (authors, years, design and coun-

Risk factors for rotator cuff tendinopathy 629

try), (*ii*) the characteristics of participants (sample size, study population, sex and age), (*iii*) diagnostic criteria of rotator cuff tendinopathy (physical examination/imaging and inclusion criteria), (*iv*) prevalence/incidence, (*v*) investigated risk/associated factors, and (*vi*) reported significant results published in odds ratios (ORs) with their corresponding 95% CI.

Narrative synthesis was undertaken to categorize the identified risk/associated factors into 6 domains (demographics, systematic diseases, anthropometrics, mechanical factors, sports activities and psychological factors). When data from at least 3 studies could be combined, a meta-analysis was performed to obtain a pooled estimate of the ORs with their corresponding 95% CI. A random-effects model was used, and dichotomous data were weighted by the Mantel-Haenszel method. The *I*² index was computed to represent the between-study heterogeneity, and the thresholds used were "low" (*I*²=25%–49%), "moderate" (*I*²=50%–74%), and "high" (*I*² ≥75%) (32). A forest plot was made to show the ORs and 95% CI of each identified risk factor. The level of significance was set at 0.05 and Review Manager 5.3 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) was used for data analyses.

Level of evidence

Based on the methodological quality ratings, the identified risk factors were classified into 5 levels of evidence, based on the method used by van Tulder et al. (33) and Hart et al. (31): (1) Strong evidence: pooled results derived from 3 or more studies with a minimum of 2 high-quality studies that were statistically homogenous ($l^2 > 0.05$); may be associated with a statistically significant or non-significant pooled result; (2) Moderate evidence: pooled results derived from multiple studies that were statistically heterogeneous, including at least one high-quality study; or from multiple moderate/low-quality studies, which were statistically homogenous; (3) Limited evidence: pooled results derived from 1 high-quality study or multiple moderate/ low-quality studies, which were statistically heterogeneous; (4) Very limited evidence: pooled results derived from one moderate/low-quality study; and (5) no evidence: pooled results that are insignificant and derived from multiple statistically heterogeneous studies (regardless of quality).

RESULTS

Identification of studies

The electronic search identified 433 studies. After excluding 100 duplicates, 333 titles and abstracts were screened, and 303 irrelevant articles were excluded. The remaining 30 articles were obtained for full text, and another 16 articles were excluded based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Two additional articles were identified through hand searching of the reference lists of the included studies. A total of 16 articles were included in this systematic review (34–49), of which 10 were eligible for meta-analysis (Fig. 1) (34, 36–38, 41–44, 46, 49).

Methodological quality and risk of bias

The methodological quality scores of articles are reported in Table II. On the basis of the modified DB



Fig. 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Metaanalyses (PRISMA) flow diagram of the search strategy.

Table II. Methodological quality assessment using the modified Downs and Black Checklist^a

	Downs and Black Checklist Items Included ^b															
Study	1	2	3	5	6	7	10	11	12	16	18	20	21	22	25	Total
Applegate et al. (34)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	12
Bansal et al. (35)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	12
Bodin et al. (36)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	15
Bugajska et al. (37)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	13
Frost et al. (38)	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	10
Frost et al. (39)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	13
Grzywacz et al. (40)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	13
Miranda et al. (41)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	13
Northover et al. (42)	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	8
Rechardt et al. (43)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	14
Roquelaure et al. (44)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	15
Silverstein et al. (45)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	12
Silverstein et al. (46)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	12
Stenlund et al. (47)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	11
Sutinen et al. (48)	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	11
Svendsen et al. (49)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	14

^aOnly criteria relevant to the included studies will be used here; therefore, several criteria will be excluded, yielding a checklist of 15 items with a maximum total of 15. A '1' indicates a 'yes'' score, and a "0" indicates a 'no" score. ^bClear aim; 2=outcomes described; 3=subjects described; 5=confounders clearly described; 6=main findings clearly described; 7=estimates of random variability; 10=probability values reported; 11=subjects asked represent population; 12=included subjects represent population; 16=planned data analysis;18=appropriate statistics; 20=accurate outcome measures; 21=included subjects recruited from same period of time; 25=adjustment for confounding in analyses.

630 H. T. Leong et al.

years, design, size, population, sex,

Study , (Authors,

Table III. Summary of data extracted from included studies

Participants (sample

<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	country)	age)	Diagnostic criteria	incidence	factor(s)
ledicine	Applegate et al. (34) 2017 Cross-sectional Utah	n=1,226 Working population include food processing, manufacturing, assembly lines and office jobs Male=421; Female=805 Mean age, vears=42.1±11.4	Physical examination Pain over the glenohumeral areas in the past 1-month period Positive Empty can test	n=156 (12.7% prevalence)	Age Hypertension Systolic blood pressure Job dissatisfied Cardiovascular disease risk scores 18+
abilitation N	Bansal et al. (35) 2017 Cross-sectional India	n=161 Elite swimmers All male Age range, years=17-35	Physical examination History of exercise-related shoulder pain Positive Neer's or Hawkins' impingement test Presence of >1 of: painful arc, tenderness at greater tuberosity, painful active contraction of any	n=28 (17.4% prevalence)	Atraumatic anterior instability Past history of shoulder pain Inadequate treatment
ournal of Reh	Bodin et al. (36) 2012 Prospective France	n=1,456 Working population Male=839; Female=617 Mean age, years=38.9±10.3	Physical examination Intermittent pain, worsened by active movement, ≥4 days during the week ≥1 positive tests of resisted shoulder abduction, external or internal rotation; resisted elbow flexion; painful arc	<i>n</i> =96 (6.6% prevalence) Male: <i>n</i> =51 (6.1% prevalence) Female: <i>n</i> =45 (7.3% prevalence)	Age High perceived physical exertion and repeated and sustained posture with arms above shoulder level (≥2h/day) Low co-worker support Work with temporary workers Repeated and sustained arm abdurtion
7	Bugajska et al. (37) 2013 Cross-sectional Poland	n=725 Working population Male=167; Female=558 Mean age, years=42.8±9.9 Age range, years=20-70	Physical examination Intermittent pain worsened by active elevation, ≥4 days during the week ≥1 positive tests of resisted shoulder abduction, external or internal rotation; resisted elbow flevion: nainful arc	15.4% prevalence Male: 6% prevalence Female: 18.6% prevalence	Sex: Female
JRM	Frost et al. (38) 1999 Cross-sectional Denmark	n=73 Working population Male=33; Female=40 Mean age, years=47.4±9.1	Physical examination & magnetic resonance imaging Shoulder pain for ≥3 months within the past year Positive Hawkins' test	Not reported	Age ≥50 years
n Medicine	Frost et al. (39) 2002 Cross-sectional Denmark	Working population Sex not reported Age not reported	Physical examination Shoulder pain and activity impairment scales at least 12/36 scores Pain at resisted abduction and/or palpation tenderness of greater humeral tubercle Positive Hawkins' test	n=48 (2.4% prevalence)	Repetitive work High frequency of movement (15−36 movemetins/min) High force (≥10% of MVC) requirements ≥80% of cycle time without pauses High frequency and high force exposure High frequency and no pauses >80% of cycle time High force and no pauses >80% of cycle time
abilitation	Grzywacz et al. (40) 2012 Cross-sectional USA	n=742 Latino poultry manual workers Male=423; Female=319 Age range, years=18 to \geq 50	Physical examination Tenderness to palpation Pain with resisted abduction, internal rotation, external rotation or forward flexion of shoulder	n=167 (32.4% prevalence)	Job control Psychological demand Awkward posture & repeated movements Poor safety commitment
Reh	Miranda et al. (41) 2005 Retrospective	n=3,885 Working population	Physical examination History of pain for at least 3 months	n=78 (2.0% prevalence)	Age 50–64 years Insulin-dependent diabetes

months

of shoulder

1% Lignocaine

Physical examination

History of pain in rotator cuff

region for at least 3 months

Pain in one or more active resisted movements (abduction,

external rotation, internal rotation) and/or painful arc

emand re & repeated mitment ſS -dependent diabetes y of pain for at least 3 mellitus ≥1 positive tests of resisted 14-23 years of working with a abduction, external rotation and hand above shoulder level internal rotation, or painful arc Overhead work Physical examination & Not reported ultrasound imaging Heavy manual work Positve Neer's and Hawkins' sign Diabetes with relief of symptoms with a Hammering subacromial injection of 10 mL Osteoarthritis

Male=1.2%

Female=1.2%

Weight training

Male waist circumference 94–101.9 cm

Male Type I diabetes

Swimming

Prevalence/

Reported as significant risk

Reported statistics OR=1.03.95% CI=1.02-1.05 OR=1.94, 95% CI=1.28-2.83 OR=1.01, 95% CI=1.00-1.02

x² tests: χ²⁼30.5, *p*<0.001

χ²⁼24.9, *p*<0.001 χ²⁼112.0, *p*<0.001

OR=3.11, 95% CI=1.52-6.37 OR=4.49, 95% CI=1.66-12.2

Male: OR=3.3, 95% CI 1.3-8.4

Male: OR=2.0, 95% CI 1.1-3.9

OR=0.33, 95% CI= 0.14-0.78

OR=3.79, 95% CI 1.38-10.43

OR_{adi}= 3.12, 95% CI 1.33-7.34.

OR_{adj}=3.29, 95% CI 1.34-8.11

OR_{adj}=4.21, 95% CI 1.71-10.40

OR_{adi}=3.33, 95% CI 1.37-8.13 OR_{adi}=4.82, 95% CI=1.86-12.51

OR_{adj}=3.53, 95%CI= 1.43-8.70 OR_{adi}=4.48, 95% CI 1.73-11.61

Female: OR=2.2, 95% CI 1.2-4.2

Female: OR=2.6, 95% CI 1.4-5.0

no pauses >80% OR=0.79, 95% CI 0.65-0.97 OR=1.30, 95% CI 1.07-1.59 OR=1.34, 95% CI 1.07-1.68 OR=1.66, 95% CI 1.16-2.38 OR=4.1, 95% CI 1.9-9.1 OR 8.8, 95% CI 1.9-40.3 OR=4.7, 95% CI 2.4-9.1

> OR 3.83, 95% CI 2.15-6.84 OR 3.81, 95% CI 1.93-7.51 OR 3.34, 95% CI 1.26-8.85 OR 2.47, 95% CI 1.12-5.44 OR 2.39, 95% CI 1.41-4.07 OR 2.32, 95% CI 1.07-5.05 OR 1.98, 95% CI 1.11-3.53 OR=2.0, 95% CI 1.1-3.5 OR=4.7, 95% CI 1.1-20.3

Retrospective

Northover et al.

cohort

Finland

(42) 2007

UК

Case-control

Rechardt et al. (43) 2010

Cross-sectional

Finland

Male=1,993;

n=300

n=6,237

Male=2,850;

Female=3,387

females=52.9

Female=1.916

General population

General population

Mean age, years, for males=50.8, for

Male=135; Female=165

Mean age, years=59.0 (range 24-86)

Age range, years=30-64

Table III. Cont

Study (Authors, years, design, country)	Participants (sample size, population, sex, age)	Diagnostic criteria	Prevalence/ incidence	Reported as significant risk factor(s)	Reported statistics
Roquelaure et al. (44) 2011 Cross-sectional France	n=3,710 Working population Male=2,161; Female=1,549 Mean age, years= 38.7 \pm 10.3	Physical examination: Intermittent pain in shoulder region, worsened by active elevation movement, currently or for \geq 4 days during the preceding 7 days \geq 1 positive tests of resisted shoulder abduction, external or internal rotation; resisted elbow flexion; painful arc	Male: 6.6% prevalence Female: 8.5% prevalence	Age Diabetes mellitus High repetitiveness of the task (\geq 4 h/day) High perceived work load Sustained or repeated arm abduction (\geq 2 h/day) High psychological demand Low decision authority	Male: OR 1.07, 95% CI 1.05–1.09; Female: OR 1.08, 95 % CI 1.06–1.10 Female: OR 2.9, 95 % CI 1.0–8.6 Male: OR 1.6, 95% CI 1.0–2.4; Female: OR 1.7, 95% CI 1.1–2.5 Male: OR 2.0, 95% CI 1.3–3.1 >90° in male: OR 2.3, 95% CI 1.3–3.9, p=0.002; >60° in female: OR 3.6, 95% CI 1.8–7.3, p<0.001 Male: OR 1.7, 95% CI 1.2–2.5 Female: OR 6 68 95% CI 1.8–24.66
Silverstein et al. (45) 2009 Cross-sectional USA	n=733 Healthcare and manufacturing workers Male=383; Female=350 Mean age, years: Male=41.7±10.0 Female=37.4±11.4	Physical examination: Shoulder pain in the last seven days; occurring more than 3 times or lasting more than 1 week in previous 12 months Positive tests of resisted shoulder abduction, external rotation, internal rotation or painful arc	Male: <i>n</i> =30 (7.8% prevalence) Female: <i>n</i> =25 (7.1% prevalence)	Work organization: rotation Physical load: Frequency of forceful exertions ≥ 5 vs <1 times/minute Duty cycle of forceful exertions $\geq 3-14$ vs <3% time Pinch grip force Lifting force Upper arm flexion $\geq 45^{\circ}$ Upper arm extension $\geq 5^{\circ}$ or flexion $\geq 45^{\circ}$ Upper arm flexion $\geq 45^{\circ}$ and pinch grip force Vibration and pinch grip force	Female: OR 0.68, 95% CI 1.81-24.00 Female: OR 2.40, 95% CI 1.05-5.51 Female: OR 3.35, 95% CI 1.05-5.51 Female: OR 3.16, 95% CI 1.06-9.44; Male: OR 3.16, 95% CI 1.06-9.44; Male: OR 3.04, 95% CI 1.06-9.47 Female: OR 3.04, 95% CI 1.32-7.01 Female: OR 3.76, 95% I 1.32-7.05 Female: OR 3.76, 95% CI 1.76-21.57 Female: OR 6.16, 95% CI 1.76-21.57 Female: OR 7.06, 95% CI 1.94-25.66 Female: OR 2.83, 95% CI 1.16-6.88
Silverstein et al. (46) 2008 Cross-sectional USA	Cases: n=733 Healthcare and manufacturing workers Male=383; Female=350 Mean age, years=39.5±11.0	Physical examination: Shoulder pain in the last seven days; occurring more than 3 times or lasting more than 1 week in previous 12 months Positive tests of resisted shoulder abduction, external rotation, internal rotation or painful arc	n=75 (7.5% prevalence)	Physical load: Frequency of forceful exertions $\geq 5 \text{ vs } < 1$ Duty cycle of forceful exertions $\geq 3-14 \text{ vs } < 3\%$ time Upper arm flexion $\geq 45^{\circ}$ Upper arm flexion $\geq 45^{\circ}$ and duty cycle of forceful exertion Upper arm flexion $\geq 45^{\circ}$ and pinch grip force Upper arm extension $\geq 5^{\circ}$ or flexion $\geq 45^{\circ}$ and pinch grip force Upper arm flexion or abduction $\geq 45^{\circ}$ and duty cycle of forceful exertion Work organization: Low job control/ decision authority	OR 2.02, 95% CI 1.01-4.07 OR 3.27, 95% CI 1.52-7.02 OR 2.16, 95% CI 1.22-3.83 OR 2.59, 95% CI 1.22-6.01 OR 2.75, 95% CI 1.32-5.73 OR 2.21, 95% 1.09-4.49 OR 2.41, 95% CI 1.18-4.94 OR 1.99, 95% CI 1.09-3.61
Stenlund et al. (47) 1993 Cross-sectional Sweden	n=207 Construction industry workers Sex not reported Mean age, years: Rock-blasters=51.8±11.6 Bricklayers=50.2±11.4 Foremen=45.8±10.2	Physical examination: Pronounced palpable pain of the muscle attachment or pronounced pain reaction to isometric contraction in any of the four rotator cuff muscles	Rock-blasters: Left: n=18 (32.7 % prevalence; Right: $n=22$ (40.0% prevalence Bricklayers: Left: $n=6$ (11.1% prevalence); Right: $n=8$ (14.8% prevalence) Foremen: Left: $n=8$ (8.2% prevalence); Right: n=17 (17.1% prevalence)	Vibration	Leftside: OR 1.84,95% CI 1.10-3.07; Right: OR 1.66, 95% CI 1.06-2.61
Sutinen et al. (48) 2006 Cross-sectional Finland	n=52 Forestry workers All male Age not reported	Physical examination: History of painful arch and intermittent pain Tenderness to palpation in the shoulder region ≥1 positive tests of resisted shoulder abduction or external rotation; painful arc	Right: 19% prevalence Left: 14% prevalence	Lifelong vibration work	OR 1.04, 95% CI 1.00-1.07
Svendsen et al. (49) 2004 Cross-sectional Denmark	n=1,886 Machinists (n=529), Car mechanics (n=599), house painters (n=758) All male Mean age, years: Machinists: 46.3±9.8 Car mechanics: 45.0±8.4 House painters: 48.4±9.2	Physical examination: ≥1 positive tests of resisted shoulder abduction, Jobe's test, painful arc ≥1 positive tests of Hawkin's test, abduction internal rotation test	Machinists: 2% prevalence Car mechanics: 1.4% prevalence House painters: 4.4% prevalence	Age: 60–70 Upper arm elevation >90° for 6–9% of working hours High job demand	OR 3.92, 95% CI 1.05–5.42 OR 4.7, 95% CI 2.07–10.68 OR 3.19, 95% CI 1.62–6.31

JRM

criteria, the median methodological quality of all 16 studies was 12/15 (range 8–15). There were 12 studies of high quality, 3 of moderate quality and one of low quality. The intraclass correlation coefficient for the inter-rater agreement between the 2 reviewers was 0.879 (95% CI 0.667–0.956).

Study characteristics

The 16 included studies were published between 1993 and 2017. The characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table III. Studies consisted of 1 prospective, 1 retrospective cohort, and 13 cross-sectional and 1 case-control studies representing 9 countries. The sample size ranged from 52 to 6237. The age of the participants ranged between 17 and 86 years. Of the included studies, 2 examined the general population (42, 43), 13 examined the working population (34, 36–41, 44–49) and one evaluated elite athletes (swimmers) (35). Clinical diagnostic tests (Hawkins-Kennedy/ Neer's test/Empty can/painful arc/resisted abduction, internal rotation, external rotation or forward flexion of the shoulder) were performed in 14 studies to determine the diagnosis of rotator cuff tendinopathy, and 2 studies combined the use of clinical diagnostic test and imaging to confirm the diagnosis of rotator cuff tendinopathy (38, 42). Details of the diagnostic criteria of each study are summarized in Table III. The overall prevalence of rotator cuff tendinopathy ranged between 1.2% and 40% (general population = 1.2% (43), working population = 2.0-40% (34, 36, 37, 39–41, 44–49) and elite swimmers = 1.7% (35)).

Narrative synthesis

Factors that were associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy are summarized in Table III. Overall, 22 potential risk factors were identified and were categorized into:

Demographics. Age above 50 years old (OR ranged between 1.03 and 4.10) (34, 36, 38, 41, 44, 49); Female sex (OR=0.33, 95% CI=0.14–0.78) (37); and Previous shoulder injury (χ^2 =24.9, p<0.001) (35).

Systematic diseases. Diabetes (OR ranged between 2.90 and 4.70) (41–44); Hypertension/cardiovascular disease (OR=4.49, 95% CI=1.66–12.2) (34); and Osteoarthritis (OR=2.39, 95% CI=1.41–4.07) (42).

Anthropometrics. Shoulder instability ($\chi^2 = 30.5$, p < 0.001) (35); and Increased waist circumference (OR=2.0, 95% CI 1.10–3.50) (43).

Mechanical factors. Work with the shoulder above 90° (OR ranged between 3.30 and 4.70) (36, 41, 42, 49); Repeated and sustained arm abduction (OR=2.60, 95% CI 1.40–5.0) (36); Heavy manual work (OR=3.81, 95% CI 1.93–7.51) (42); Repetitive work (OR ranged between 2.90 and 3.12) (39, 44); High frequency of work (OR ranged between 2.02 and 3.35) (39, 45, 46); High force exposure of work (OR ranged between 3.33 and 4.80) (39, 45); and Vibration work (OR ranged between 1.04 and 2.83) (45, 47, 48).

Sports activities. Swimming (OR = 1.98, 95% CI 1.11–3.53) (42); and Weight training (OR = 2.32, 95% CI 1.07–5.05) (42).

Psychological factors. High psychological demand (OR ranged between 1.30 and 3.19) (40, 44, 49); Low co-worker support and work with temporary workers (OR = 2.20, 95% CI 1.20–4.20) (36); Low job control/decision authority (OR ranged between 0.79 and 6.68) (40, 44–46); Poor safety commitment (OR = 1.66, 95% CI 1.16–2.38) (40); and Job dissatisfaction (OR = 3.11, 95% CI 1.52–6.37) (34).

Meta-analysis

An OR with 95% CI was available for only 10 of the included studies due to limitations in the data presented (34, 36–38, 41–44, 46, 49). Thus, pooled meta-analysis was feasible for only 5 factors. These were age above 50 years (Fig. 2), female sex (Fig. 3), diabetes (Fig. 4), working with the shoulder above 90° (Fig. 5), and psychological demand (Fig. 6). Strong evidence showed that age above 50 years among the working population (OR 3.31(95% CI 2.30-4.76, Z=6.43, p<0.001) and diabetes among the general and working population (OR 2.24, 95% CI 1.37–3.65, Z=3.21, p=0.001) were associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy, and the between-study heterogeneity for both factors was low (between study I^2 index=0%) so pooled analyses were deemed valid. Moderate evidence showed that working with the shoulder above 90° was revealed to be associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy among the working population (OR 2.41, 95% CI 1.31-4.45, Z=2.82, p=0.005), but high levels of between-study heterogeneity (I^2 index=83%). Nevertheless, female sex (OR 1.31, 95% CI 0.83–2.05, Z=1.15, p=0.25) and psychological demand (OR 1.40, 95% CI=0.95-2.08, Z=1.69, p=0.09) may not be associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy among the working population, and the between-study heterogeneity was moderate (l^2 index=69% and 64%, respectively).

	Experimental		Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio			
Study or Subgroup Events Total		Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl				
Bodin et al. 2012	21	171	25	748	24.3%	4.05 [2.21, 7.42]				
Frost et al. 1999	19	29	23	44	18.8%	1.73 [0.66, 4.57]				
Miranda et al. 2005	42	1187	11	1280	30.5%	4.23 [2.17, 8.26]	_			
Svendsen et al. 2004a	24	1080	9	1068	26.4%	2.67 [1.24, 5.78]				
Total (95% CI)		2467		3140	100.0%	3.31 [2.30, 4.76]	•			
Total events	106		68							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.9	5, df = 3 (F	9 = 0.40); I ² = 0%							
Test for overall effect: Z =	6.43 (P ≤	0.0000		0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Age <50 Age ≥50						

Fig. 2. Forest plot synthesizing the overall odds ratio for rotator cuff tendinopathy in individuals aged 50 years and above. 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; M-H = Mantel-Haenszel test.



Fig. 3. Forest plot synthesizing the overall odds ratio for rotator cuff tendinopathy in females. 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; M-H = Mantel-Haenszel test.

	Experimental		erimental Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	udy or Subgroup Events Total		Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Applegate et al. 2017	13	156	53	1070	66.2%	1.74 [0.93, 3.28]	+∎
Northover et al. 2007	17	150	6	150	28.5%	3.07 [1.17, 8.01]	
Rechardt et al. 2010	2	22	67	2666	5.3%	3.88 [0.89, 16.93]	
Total (95% CI)		328		3886	100.0%	2.24 [1.37, 3.65]	•
Total events	32		126				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	.55, df = 2 i	(P = 0.4	6); I² = 09	%			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.21 (P =	= 0.001))				Normal Diabetes

Fig. 4. Forest plot synthesizing the overall odds ratio for rotator cuff tendinopathy in individuals with diabetes. 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; M-H = Mantel-Haenszel test.

Study or Subgroup	Experimental Events Total		Control Events Total Weigl		Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl		Odds Ratio M-H. Random, 95% Cl			
Bodin et al. 2012	24	333	79	1109	26.0%	1.01 [0.63, 1.63]		, 			
Northover et al. 2007	57	150	20	150	24.2%	3.98 [2.24, 7.08]			_		
Roquelaure et al. 2011	37	277	171	2843	27.5%	2.41 [1.65, 3.52]					
Svendsen et al. 2004a	21	529	14	1316	22.2%	3.84 [1.94, 7.62]					
Total (95% CI)		1289		5418	100.0%	2.41 [1.31, 4.45]			•		
Total events	139		284								
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.32; Chi² = 17.16, df = 3 (P = 0.0007); I² = 83%								0.1	1 10	100	
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.82 (P = 0.005)								Shoulder below 90 degrees	Shoulder above 90 degrees	100	

Fig. 5. Forest plot synthesizing the overall odds ratio for rotator cuff tendinopathy for work with the shoulder above 90°. 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; M-H = Mantel-Haenszel test.

	Experimental Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio					
Study or Subgroup	Study or Subgroup Events Total		Events	Total	Weight M-H, Random, 95% Cl			M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
Bodin et al. 2012	50	701	45	744	27.6%	1.19 [0.79, 1.81]				
Roquelaure et al. 2011	80	1022	55	1056	30.2%	1.55 [1.08, 2.20]		-		
Silverstein et al. 2008	31	434	22	260	21.8%	0.83 [0.47, 1.47]			_	
Svendsen et al. 2004a	20	781	22	2238	20.4%	2.65 [1.44, 4.88]				
Total (95% CI)		2938		4298	100.0%	1.40 [0.95, 2.08]		-	•	
Total events	181		144							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.1	Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.10; Chi ² = 8.25, df = 3 (P = 0.04); I ² = 64%									100
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.69 (P = 0.09)								Low psychological demand	High psychological demand	100

Fig. 6. Forest plot synthesizing the overall odds ratio for rotator cuff tendinopathy for individuals with high psychological demand. 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; M-H = Mantel-Haenszel test.

DISCUSSION

This systematic review and meta-analysis examined factors that were associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy. Overall, 22 potential risk factors were identified. Pooled analyses provided strong evidence of age over 50 years and diabetes being associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy. In addition, moderate evidence showed that working with the shoulder above 90° was associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy.

The aetiology of rotator cuff tendinopathy is multifactorial, and has been classified as intrinsic, extrinsic or a combination of both (50). Our finding showed that age above 50 years appears to be a significant factor in the intrinsic aetiology of rotator cuff tendinopathy among the working population, which is consistent with the knowledge regarding age-related degenerative changes in ageing rotator cuff tendons (36, 44, 51, 52). Biomechanical studies showed that there is decreased ultimate strain, decreased ultimate load, decreased elasticity, and decreased overall tensile strength in ageing tendons (53-57). In addition, microscopic and biochemical pathological changes showed degeneration of the tenocytes and collagen fibres, accumulation of lipids and ground substance (glycosaminoglycans) in ageing tendons (51). Nevertheless, there is no consensus as to whether pathological changes in the rotator cuff tendon are primarily due to ageing or a secondary consequence with mechanical overuse/ overloading (50).

The findings of the current study showed that systematic disorders, such as diabetes, have been identified as risk and associated factors for rotator cuff tendinopathy among the general and working populations (41-44). Recent evidence showed that patients with diabetes had a 2.11-fold higher risk of rotator cuff disorders compared with those without diabetes (58). Previous studies have shown that hyperglycaemia associated with diabetes affects tendon collagen crosslinking (59) and reduces proteoglycan content (60). In addition, other studies also reported that people with diabetes demonstrated limited shoulder joint mobility, reduced shoulder muscle strength and impaired shoulder function compared with non-diabetes controls (61); and sonographic evaluation also revealed rotator cuff degeneration among patients with diabetes (62). Thus, strict control of diabetes with lifestyle/diet modification and careful monitoring of load progression during exercises may be indicated for the prevention and management of rotator cuff tendinopathy in diabetic patients (63). Nevertheless, other systematic disorders, such as cardiovascular disease (34) and osteoarthritis (42), were also reported to be associated with rotator cuff tendinopathy. However, the number of studies

investigating these factors is small and the studies were largely heterogeneous; therefore, conducting a meta-analysis was impossible.

For the extrinsic mechanism, the mechanical theory has been proposed to be the main aetiology of rotator cuff tendinopathy. Micro-injuries in the tendon resulting from overload can lead to matrix and cell changes, altered mechanical properties of the tendon, and contribute to failed healing of the tendon (2, 19). Work with the shoulder above 90° was revealed to be associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy among the working population (36, 41, 42, 49). Evidence from biomechanical studies supports these findings, and it is reported that the intramuscular pressure in the rotator cuff muscles increases with extreme arm elevation (64). In addition, overhead activities may mechanically compress or irritate the subacromial tissues and tendon structures between the humeral head and the acromion, resulting in rotator cuff tendinopathy (65). Moreover, other mechanical work-related factors, such as heavy manual work (42), repetitive work (39), 44), high frequency of work (39, 45, 46), high force exposure of work (39, 45) and vibration work (45, 47, 48), have been identified to be associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy. Based on our findings, extrinsic factors that overload or mechanically compress or irritate the subacromial tissues and tendon structures between the humeral head and the acromion may contribute to rotator cuff tendinopathy.

Psychological factors, such as high psychological demand (40, 44, 49), low job control/decision authority (40, 44, 46), poor safety commitment (40), job dissatisfaction (34), and low co-worker support and work with temporary workers (41), have been reported to be risk and associated factors for rotator cuff tendinopathy among the working population (37). Pooled data analysis was conducted for high psychological demand (36, 44, 45, 49). However, our results showed a trend that high psychological demand might be associated with increased risk of rotator cuff tendinopathy and the between-study heterogeneity was high $(I^2 = 64\%)$. The current findings may suggest that the awareness of psychological variables may be associated with rotator cuff tendinopathy. Clinicians should consider using validated screening tools for detection of the presence of psychological variables, and addressing individual psychological variables and the underlying cognitive behaviour should be considered as the management and prevention of rotator cuff tendinopathy (66).

The current systematic review and meta-analysis had several limitations due to the inherent biases of the included studies. Thus, caution should be taken when interpreting the findings. First, publication bias may be present, as only English language studies were included and only 3 databases were searched. Secondly, most of the included studies were either cross-sectional studies or cohort studies with diverse populations, although the majority of the included studies examined the working population (13/16). Pooled analysis showed age above 50 years and work with the shoulder above 90° were associated with increased risk of symptomatic rotator cuff tendinopathy among the working population, and diabetes was associated with increased risk of symptomatic rotator cuff tendinopathy among the general and working population. More prospective studies are required to identify the exact risk factors for rotator cuff tendinopathy in the general population and athletes of overhead sports. Thirdly, inconsistency in the method of tendinopathy diagnoses may explain the large between-study heterogeneity. In the present systematic review, we included only symptomatic patients with rotator cuff tendinopathy diagnosed by clinical tests or conventional imaging, which have been adopted in previous studies in order to identify those with rotator cuff tendinopathy (3, 19, 20). The majority of the included studies used clinical diagnostic tests to determine the diagnosis of rotator cuff tendinopathy. Future studies should combine the use of clinical diagnostic testing and imaging to confirm the diagnosis of rotator cuff tendinopathy. Finally, among the 22 potential risk factors that were identified, only 5 factors were explored with meta-analysis. This may be due to the small number of studies and the fact that the studies were largely heterogeneous; therefore, conducting a meta-analysis was impossible. Further high-quality prospective studies in regard to risk factors for rotator cuff tendinopathy are required.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that there is evidence indicating that age above 50 years and diabetes are associated with increased risk of symptomatic rotator cuff tendinopathy. In addition, overhead shoulder activities above 90° were associated with increased risk of symptomatic rotator cuff tendinopathy. Further high-quality prospective studies are required to identify the exact risk factors for rotator cuff tendinopathy.

REFERENCES

- 1. Luime JJ, Koes BW, Hendriksen IJ, Burdorf A, Verhagen AP, Miedema HS, et al. Prevalence and incidence of shoulder pain in the general population; a systematic review. Scand J Rheumatol 2004; 33: 73–81.
- Lewis J, Mccreesh K, Roy JS, Ginn K. Rotator cuff tendinopathy: navigating the diagnosis-management conundrum. J Orthop Sport Phys 2015; 45: 923–937.
- Desmeules F, Boudreault J, Dionne CE, Fremont P, Lowry V, MacDermid JC, et al. Efficacy of exercise therapy in workers with rotator cuff tendinopathy: a systematic review. J Occup Health 2016; 58: 389–403.
- 4. Neer CS. Impingement lesions. Clin Orthop Relat R 1983; 173: 70–77.
- Bonde JP, Mikkelsen S, Andersen JH, Fallentin N, Baelum J, Svendsen SW, et al. Prognosis of shoulder tendonitis in repetitive work: a follow up study in a cohort of Danish

industrial and service workers. Occup Environ Med 2003; 60: E8.

- Jeong JY, Song SY, Yoo JC, Park KM, Lee SM. Comparison of outcomes with arthroscopic repair of acute-on-chronic within 6 months and chronic rotator cuff tears. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2017; 26: 648–655.
- Desmeules F, Boudreault J, Roy JS, Dionne C, Fremont P, MacDermid JC. The efficacy of therapeutic ultrasound for rotator cuff tendinopathy: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Phys Ther Sport 2015; 16: 276–284.
- Huisstede BM, Gebremariam L, van der Sande R, Hay EM, Koes BW. Evidence for effectiveness of extracorporal shock-wave therapy (ESWT) to treat calcific and noncalcific rotator cuff tendinosis – a systematic review. Man Ther 2011; 16: 419–433.
- Page MJ, Green S, Mrocki MA, Surace SJ, Deitch J, McBain B, et al. Electrotherapy modalities for rotator cuff disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016: CD012225.
- Balasubramaniam U, Dissanayake R, Annabell L. Efficacy of platelet-rich plasma injections in pain associated with chronic tendinopathy: a systematic review. Phys Sportsmed 2015; 43: 253–261.
- Coombes BK, Bisset L, Vicenzino B. Efficacy and safety of corticosteroid injections and other injections for management of tendinopathy: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Lancet 2010; 376: 1751–1767.
- Faber E, Kuiper JI, Burdorf A, Miedema HS, Verhaar JA. Treatment of impingement syndrome: a systematic review of the effects on functional limitations and return to work. J Occup Rehabil 2006; 16: 7–25.
- Green S, Buchbinder R, Hetrick S. Physiotherapy interventions for shoulder pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2003, (2): CD004258.
- 14. Brox JI, Gjengedal E, Uppheim G, Bohmer AS, Brevik JI, Ljunggren AE, et al. Arthroscopic surgery versus supervised exercises in patients with rotator cuff disease (stage II impingement syndrome): a prospective, randomized, controlled study in 125 patients with a 2 1/2-year followup. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 1999; 8: 102–111.
- Holmgren T, Bjornsson Hallgren H, Oberg B, Adolfsson L, Johansson K. Effect of specific exercise strategy on need for surgery in patients with subacromial impingement syndrome: randomised controlled study. BMJ 2012; 344: e787.
- Ludewig PM, Borstad JD. Effects of a home exercise programme on shoulder pain and functional status in construction workers. Occup Environ Med 2003; 60: 841–849.
- Winters JC, Sobel JS, Groenier KH, Arendzen JH, Meyboomde Jong B. The long-term course of shoulder complaints: a prospective study in general practice. Rheumatology (Oxford) 1999; 38: 160–163.
- Soslowsky LJ, Thomopoulos S, Esmail A, Flanagan CL, Iannotti JP, Williamson JD, 3rd, et al. Rotator cuff tendinosis in an animal model: role of extrinsic and overuse factors. Ann Biomed Eng 2002; 30: 1057–1063.
- Desjardins-Charbonneau A, Roy JS, Dionne CE, Fremont P, MacDermid JC, Desmeules F. The efficacy of manual therapy for rotator cuff tendinopathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2015; 45: 330–350.
- Toliopoulos P, Desmeules F, Boudreault J, Roy JS, Fremont P, MacDermid JC, et al. Efficacy of surgery for rotator cuff tendinopathy: a systematic review. Clin Rheumatol 2014; 33: 1373–1383.
- Sayampanathan AA, Andrew TH. Systematic review on risk factors of rotator cuff tears. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong) 2017; 25: 2309499016684318.
- Connor PM, Banks DM, Tyson AB, Coumas JS, D'Alessandro DF. Magnetic resonance imaging of the asymptomatic shoulder of overhead athletes – a 5-year follow-up study. Am J Sport Med 2003; 31: 724–727.
- Miniaci A, Mascia AT, Salonen DC, Becker EJ. Magnetic resonance imaging of the shoulder in asymptomatic professional baseball pitchers. Am J Sport Med 2002; 30: 66–73.

636 H. T. Leong et al.

- Sher JS, Uribe JW, Posada A, Murphy BJ, Zlatkin MB. Abnormal findings on magnetic-resonance images of asymptomatic shoulders. J Bone Joint Surg 1995; 77A: 10–15.
- 25. Worland RL, Lee D, Orozco CG, SozaRex F, Keenan J. Correlation of age, acromial morphology, and rotator cuff tear pathology diagnosed by ultrasound in asymptomatic patients. J South Orthop Assoc 2003; 12: 23–26.
- Girish G, Lobo LG, Jacobson JA, Morag Y, Miller B, Jamadar DA. Ultrasound of the shoulder: asymptomatic findings in men. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2011; 197: W713–W719.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Grp P. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. Plos Med 2009; 6: e1000097.
- Dissemination CfRa. PROSPERO: International prospective register of systematic reviews. [Cited 2017 Jun 16]; Available from: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/.
- Downs SH, Black N. The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. J Epidemiol Community Health 1998; 52: 377–384.
- Irving DB, Cook JL, Menz HB. Factors associated with chronic plantar heel pain: a systematic review. J Sci Med Sport 2006; 9: 11–22.
- Hart HF, Culvenor AG, Collins NJ, Ackland DC, Cowan SM, Machotka Z, et al. Knee kinematics and joint moments during gait following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med 2016; 50: 597–612.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003; 327: 557–560.
- van Tulder M, Furlan A, Bombardier C, Bouter L, Editorial board of the Cochrane Collaboration Back Review G. Updated method guidelines for systematic reviews in the cochrane collaboration back review group. Spine 2003; 28: 1290–1299.
- Applegate KA, Thiese MS, Merryweather AS, Kapellusch J, Drury DL, Wood E, et al. Association between cardiovascular disease risk factors and rotator cuff tendinopathy: a cross-sectional study. J Occup Environ Med 2017; 59: 154–160.
- Bansal S, Sinha AGK, Sandhu JS. Shoulder impingement syndrome among competitive swimmers in India – prevalence, evaluation and risk factors. J Exerc Sci Fit 2007; 5: 102–108.
- Bodin J, Ha C, Le Manac'h AP, Serazin C, Descatha A, Leclerc A, et al. Risk factors for incidence of rotator cuff syndrome in a large working population. Scand J Work Environ Health 2012; 38: 436–446.
- Bugajska J, Zolnierczyk-Zreda D, Jedryka-Goral A, Gasik R, Hildt-Ciupinska K, Malinska M, et al. Psychological factors at work and musculoskeletal disorders: a one year prospective study. Rheumatol Int 2013; 33: 2975–2983.
- Frost P, Andersen JH, Lundorf E. Is supraspinatus pathology as defined by magnetic resonance imaging associated with clinical sign of shoulder impingement? J Shoulder Elbow Surg 1999; 8: 565–568.
- Frost P, Bonde JP, Mikkelsen S, Andersen JH, Fallentin N, Kaergaard A, et al. Risk of shoulder tendinitis in relation to shoulder loads in monotonous repetitive work. Am J Ind Med 2002; 41: 11–18.
- Grzywacz JG, Arcury TA, Mora D, Anderson AM, Chen H, Rosenbaum DA, et al. Work organization and musculoskeletal health: clinical findings from immigrant Latino poultry processing and other manual workers. J Occup Environ Med 2012; 54: 995–1001.
- Miranda H, Viikari-Juntura E, Heistaro S, Heliovaara M, Riihimaki H. A population study on differences in the determinants of a specific shoulder disorder versus nonspecific shoulder pain without clinical findings. Am J Epidemiol 2005; 161: 847–855.

- 42. Northover JR, Lunn P, Clark DI, Phillipson M. Risk factors for the development of rotator cuff disease. Int J Shoulder Surg 2007; 1: 82–86.
- 43. Rechardt M, Shiri R, Karppinen J, Jula A, Heliovaara M, Viikari-Juntura E. Lifestyle and metabolic factors in relation to shoulder pain and rotator cuff tendinitis: a populationbased study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2010; 11: 165.
- 44. Roquelaure Y, Bodin J, Ha C, Le Manac'h AP, Descatha A, Chastang JF, et al. Personal, biomechanical, and psychosocial risk factors for rotator cuff syndrome in a working population. Scand J Work Environ Health 2011; 37: 502–511.
- 45. Silverstein B, Fan ZJ, Smith CK, Bao S, Howard N, Spielholz P, et al. Gender adjustment or stratification in discerning upper extremity musculoskeletal disorder risk? Scand J Work Environ Health 2009; 35: 113–126.
- Silverstein BA, Bao SS, Fan ZJ, Howard N, Smith C, Spielholz P, et al. Rotator cuff syndrome: Personal, work-related psychosocial and physical load factors. J Occup Environ Med 2008; 50: 1062–1076.
- Stenlund B, Goldie I, Hagberg M, Hogstedt C. shoulder tendinitis and its relation to heavy manual work and exposure to vibration. Scand J Work Environ Health 1993; 19: 43–49.
- Sutinen P, Toppila E, Starck J, Brammer A, Zou J, Pyykko I. Hand-arm vibration syndrome with use of anti-vibration chain saws: 19-year follow-up study of forestry workers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 2006; 79: 665–671.
- 49. Svendsen SW, Bonde JP, Mathiassen SE, Stengaard-Pedersen K, Frich LH. Work related shoulder disorders: quantitative exposure-response relations with reference to arm posture. Occup Environ Med 2004; 61: 844–853.
- Seitz AL, McClure PW, Finucane S, Boardman ND, 3rd, Michener LA. Mechanisms of rotator cuff tendinopathy: intrinsic, extrinsic, or both? Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 2011; 26: 1–12.
- Kannus P, Jozsa L. Histopathological changes preceding spontaneous rupture of a tendon. A controlled study of 891 patients. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1991; 73: 1507–1525.
- Teunis T, Lubberts B, Reilly BT, Ring D. A systematic review and pooled analysis of the prevalence of rotator cuff disease with increasing age. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2014; 23: 1913–1921.
- Best TM GW. Basic science of soft tissue: muscle and tendon. In: DeLee JC DD, editor. Orthopaedic sports medicine. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 1994. p. 1–45.
- Kannus P PM, Józsa L. Aging and degeneration of tendons. In: Maffulli N RP, Leadbetter WB, editors. Tendon injuries. London: Springer; 2015. p. 25–31.
- Viidik A. Connective tissues possible implications of the temporal changes for the aging process. Mech Ageing Dev 1979; 9: 267–285.
- Vogel HG. Influence of maturation and age on mechanical and biochemical parameters of connective-tissue of various organs in the rat. Connect Tissue Res 1978; 6: 161–166.
- 57. Woo SL An KN, Frank CB. Anatomy, biology, and biomechanics of tendon and ligament. In: Buckwalter JA, Einhorn TA, Simon SR, editors. Orthopaedic basic science: biology and biomechanics of the musculoskeletal system. Park Ridge: American Academy of Orthoapedic Surgeons; 2000, p. 581–616.
- 58. Lin TT, Lin CH, Chang CL, Chi CH, Chang ST, Sheu WH. The effect of diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and statins on the development of rotator cuff disease: a nationwide, 11year, longitudinal, population-based follow-up study. Am J Sport Med 2015; 43: 2126–2132.
- 59. Reddy GK. Glucose-mediated in vitro glycation modulates biomechanical integrity of the soft tissues but not hard tissues. J Orthopaed Res 2003; 21: 738–743.
- 60. Burner T, Gohr C, Mitton-Fitzgerald E, Rosenthal AK. Hyperglycemia reduces proteoglycan levels in tendons. Connect Tissue Res 2012; 53: 535–541.
- 61. Shah KM, Clark BR, McGill JB, Mueller MJ. Upper extremity

Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine

JRM

impairments, pain and disability in patients with diabetes mellitus. Physiotherapy 2015; 101: 147–154.

- 62. Abate M, Schiavone C, Salini V. Sonographic evaluation of the shoulder in asymptomatic elderly subjects with diabetes. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2010; 11: 278.
- Ranger TA, Wong AMY, Cook JL, Gaida JE. Is there an association between tendinopathy and diabetes mellitus? A systematic review with meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med 2016; 50: 982–989.
- 64. Palmerud G, Forsman M, Sporrong H, Herberts P, Kadefors

R. Intramuscular pressure of the infra- and supraspinatus muscles in relation to hand load and arm posture. Eur J Appl Physiol 2000; 83: 223–230.

- 65. Leong HT, Tsui SSM, Ng GYF, Fu SN. Reduction of the subacromial space in athletes with and without rotator cuff tendinopathy and its association with the strength of scapular muscles. J Sci Med Sport 2016; 19: 970–974.
- Mallows A, Debenham J, Walker T, Littlewood C. Association of psychological variables and outcome in tendinopathy: a systematic review. Br J Sports Med 2017; 51: 743–748.